

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		<u> </u>		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/536,137	03/28/2000	Daniel A. Benton	FA0881 US Na	5926
23906	7590 02/20/2004	-	EXAM	INER
	T DE NEMOURS AN ENT RECORDS CENTE	NGUYEN, CAM LINH T		
	LL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANCA	-		2171	19
WILMINGTO	, DE 19003		DATE MAILED: 02/20/200	(/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ø

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/536,137	BENTON ET AL.			
-	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE COLO	CamLinh Nguyen	2171			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered and an work or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 18.					
10. Other:		,			
		WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER			
		PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINED			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that there is no need to input physical color readings into the claim method. The Examiner respectfully points out that the instance application just simplifies the Corrigan's invention. Any one with the skill in the art would recognize that without the physical color input, the Corrigan's reference still works properly. The color code can be obtained from the VIN (See fig. 1, element 10). By adding the physical color, Corrigan just want to increase the accuracy of the painting job (col. 5, lines 32 - 37), narrow down the matching colors (col. 9 lines 1 - 5), by comparing the input physical color with the finsihed color. Therefore, the instance application is not patentable over Corrigan's reference..